

1 **MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP**
RONALD S. KATZ (State Bar No. 85713)
2 ROBERT D. BECKER (State Bar No. 160648)
J. BRUCE MCCUBBREY (State Bar No. 38817)
3 MICHELLE GILLETTE (State Bar No. 178734)
1001 Page Mill Road, Building 2
4 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1006
Telephone: (650) 812-1300
5 Facsimile: (650) 213-0260

6 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
VISTO CORPORATION

7 **DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP**
8 SRI K. SANKARAN (State Bar No. 236584)
555 California Street, Suite 1000
9 San Francisco, CA 94104-1513
Telephone: (415) 781-1989
10 Facsimile: (415) 398-3249

11 Devan V. Padmanabhan (Admitted *pro hac vice*)
Suite 1500, 50 South Sixth Street
12 Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498
Telephone: (612) 341-2600

13 *Attorneys for Defendant*
14 SPROQIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

15
16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
17 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
18 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**
19

20 VISTO CORPORATION,

21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 SPROQIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

24 Defendant.

Case No. C 04-0651 EMC

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING VISTO
AND SPROQIT'S JOINT MOTION FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF PURSUANT
TO LOCAL RULE 7-11 REGARDING USE
OF DEMONSTRATIVES FOR ORAL
ARGUMENT ON ITS MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**


Hearing Date: January 18, 2006
Time: 10:30 a.m.
Courtroom: C, 15th Floor
Judge: Hon. Edward M. Chen

1 Presently before the Court is Visto and Sproqit's Joint Motion For Administrative Relief
2 Pursuant To Local Rule 7-11 Regarding Use Of Demonstratives For Oral Argument On Visto's
3 Motion For Preliminary Injunction scheduled for hearing at 10:30 a.m. on January 18, 2006.

4 The parties have stipulated to the relief requested in this motion.

5 "PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES JOINT MOTION AND STIPULATION and good
6 cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED that the parties' Joint Motion for Administrative Relief is
7 GRANTED.

8
9
10 Dated: January 12, 2006



11
12
13 Honorable Judge Edward M. Chen
14 United States District Court Magistrate Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28